EBENEZER EASTMAN.

JUNE 10, 1842. Read, and laid upon the table.

Mr. MILTON BROWN, from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted the following

REPORT:

The Judiciary Committee, to whom was referred the petition of Ebenezer Eastman, beg leave to report:

That the petitioner purchased a lot of land, No. 2, in Bethlehem, in the State of New Hampshire, of one Joseph Greely, in 1825, for which he paid \$200. Said Greely received the same by inheritance from his father, Samuel Greely, whose title rested on a purchase under a sale for direct taxes, under the provisions of an act of Congress, approved January 9, 1815. That one George Little and another person, taking possession of said land and commencing the cutting of timber, a writ was sued out against them by petitioner; and that, on the trial, the validity petitioner's title coming up, it was decided that no title had passed under the sale for taxes, because of defect in the notice required to be given by the collector. (See the case of "Ebenezer Eastman vs. George Little and another," New Hampshire Rep. vol. 5, page 290.)

The petitioner says the lot has since been sold for over two thousand dollars; and that, besides this great sacrifice in the loss of the land, he has also expended, for counsel fees and costs of litigation, the sum of \$312; and prays that "such remuneration may be made to him as the wisdom of

Congress may direct."

The committee is of opinion that the Government, in selling lands for taxes, neither warrants the title nor is responsible for the regularity of the sale. The purchaser takes nothing except what the proceedings under which he purchases will confer. The regularity of these proceedings are matters of record and public notice, which he is bound to look to.

The committee therefore respectfully recommend the adoption of the

following resolution:

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.

EBENERING EASTMAN

June 10, 1813. *

Marion Brown, from the Committee on the Indicary affine field the

THOUN

houses y Committee, to whom was referred the pathioned that

the dispersion of our loses to treely. In 182, as derived an analysis of New Hampshire of our loses to theely. In 182, as derived we have a soft and the same by sheet there is an all the same by sheet the previsions of an acr of the produce approved and the miles the previsions of an acr of the same and the result and connected the and another person taken on the selection of the same the wall and connected the cuttling of the trad, the validity perturbers the same and the trad, the validity perturbers the same and the trad, the validity perturbers the same that the trad to the same to the same to the same acres.

The connected that the trade is the priven by the collector (See acres of the same and accorder.) New the same acres and accorder. New there has been acres and

we publicate styre the lot has since been sold for or at \$2,000 to has as a publication of the control in has a present of the control in has a present the same of balts; and a present to see it regarded the same of balts; and a present the same of balts; and a present regarded to the maste to thin as the wisdom of the first rest in the resumeration pay be smalle to thin as the wisdom of the first rest in the first rest in the first result of the first rest in the first rest in the first result of the first rest result in the first result of the first result in the first result result in the first r

Answer vinter 28018

open little s. of birmion that the Fovermann, in gills, little in the settle of the purchaser takes nothing except what the proveding sentences will couler. The regularity of these proceedings are of record and prime notice, which had be bound to look to settle of the countries therefore respectfully recognished the adoption of the settle of the countries the reference of the settle.

nonniosa sellinon:

"Wed that the prayer of the petitioner ought per to be franced."